

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States DEPARIMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office where the Management of the VIII of AND TRADE MALKS Western Def. 2005.

APPLICATION NO	FIFTNG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ALTORNI Y DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
10 009,453	11 08 2001	Bernd Fabry	H 4132 PC LUS	1938
23657 250	90 04 03 2003			
COGNIS CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
2500 RENAISSANCE BLVD., SUITE 200 GULPH MILLS, PA 19406		200	WELLS, LAUR	
			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
			(6)7	177
			DATE MAILED: 04-03-2003	· L'

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/009,453	FABRY ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lauren Q Wells	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE: .</li></ul>					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 9-20.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other: SREENI PADMANABHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER C, 2 0 3					
		PRIMARY EXAMINER C 203			

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a) the 35 USC 103 rejection is maintained for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 1/28/03, Paper No. 8; b) Additionally, regarding Applicant's arguments toward the particle size, the Examiner respectfully points out that selection of particle size is not a patentable modification in the absence of unobvious results. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); Regarding Applicant's arguments against the US '437 reference, the Examiner again respectfully points out that a reference is considered as a whole. US '437 clearly teaches that that are advantages to coating soap particles because of their extremely fine physical state. Therefore, one of skill in the art would have incorporated the coatings of US '437 onto the metal soaps of US '079 because of the expectation of decreasing dusting and agglomeration.